

RECENT ADVANCES IN THE CONTROL OF PGD-BASED APPROXIMATIONS

LUDOVIC CHAMOIN, P. LADEVÈZE, F. PLED

Laboratoire de Mécanique et Technologie (LMT)
Ecole Normale Supérieure de Cachan
61, Avenue du Président Wilson, 94230 Cachan, France
e-mail: {chamoin,ladevèze,pled}@lmt.ens-cachan.fr, web page: <http://www.lmt.ens-cachan.fr>

Abstract. During the last few years, and due to the increasing number of multi-parameter simulation models, model reduction techniques have been the object of a growing interest in research and industry. In particular, an appealing technique based on separation of variables within a spectral resolution approach was recently introduced and successfully used in many applications of Computational Mechanics [1,2]. It is called *Proper Generalized Decomposition* (PGD), and can be seen as a POD extension. Contrary to the POD, the PGD approximation does not require any knowledge on the solution; it operates in an iterative strategy in which basis functions (or modes) are computed on the fly, by solving simple problems that can be seen as pseudo-eigenvalue problems. However, even though the PGD is usually very effective, a major question is to derive verification tools in order to control the quality of the approximate PGD solution.

After first works that developed error indicators in the PGD context [3], a verification approach was introduced to build guaranteed PGD-reduced models for linear elliptic or parabolic problems depending on parameters [4,5]. This approach is based on the concept of constitutive relation error and provides for strict bounds on both global error and error on outputs of interest. It also enables to assess contributions of various error sources (space and time discretizations, truncation of the PGD decomposition, etc.), which can help driving adaptive strategies.

In this work, we present the new advances which have been performed in the PGD-verification method. These advances particularly enable to deal with problems with lots of parameters, or new problems on which verification tools had not been tested until now (shape optimization for instance). Furthermore, they aim at setting up a non-intrusive procedure (in particular for the solution of the adjoint problem) in order to address implementation issues. Therefore, virtual charts associated with quantities of interest and computed from PGD models can now benefit fully from the verification method to satisfy a prescribed accuracy.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Chinesta, A. Ammar, and E. Cueto, Recent advances and new challenges in the use of the Proper Generalized Decomposition for solving multidimensional models, *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, **17**(4), 327-350 (2010)
- [2] F. Chinesta, P. Ladevèze, and E. Cueto, A short review on model reduction based on Proper Generalized Decomposition, *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, **18**, 395-404 (2011)

- [3] A. Ammar, F. Chinesta, P. Diez, A. Huerta, An error estimator for separated representations of highly multidimensional models, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, **199**, 1872-1880, 2010
- [4] P. Ladevèze, L. Chamoin, On the verification of model reduction methods based on the Proper Generalized Decomposition, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, **200**, 2032-2047 (2011)
- [5] P. Ladevèze, L. Chamoin, *Toward guaranteed PGD-reduced models*, Bytes and Science, G. Zavarise & D.P. Boso (Eds.), CIMNE (2012)